

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 16, 2001

LB 536A

would be liable to furnish the corn at that price, and if there's a...if corn was \$3 a bushel you would...you would owe the plant \$1 a bushel for however many bushels of corn you had committed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if I didn't, if I was no longer producing, they might subtract it from the cash that I had put into it. Is that...

SENATOR SCHROCK: You know, I'm want to...I'm going to assume that's what would take place. I don't know the details.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then Senator Dierks and Senator Cunningham could not get in with an investment of \$500 anyway, could they?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I don't think they would take that small of an amount of money.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. (Laugh) Senator Dierks and Senator Cunningham have been consigned to the sandbox. You guys are not even mid-level rollers, let alone high rollers, so you can just forget what I said. The point that I want to make, though, is that these operations are on very shaky footing. Why should we not let the Revenue Committee review this bill, and if that A bill is before that committee I'm sure all of the parties would want to come to the Revenue Committee and talk about the underlying bill and the A bill. Senator Dierks is in discussion, so I will ask Senator Wehrbein this question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wehrbein, for a question.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, if I would promise not to harry the A bill, I'd still go after the underlying bill, if you all agree to let it go back to the Revenue Committee for consideration, just the A bill. Would you agree to let it go there?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, frankly, I don't...no,...